Departmental Laser Safety Audit Checklist

	Department:			
	Date of visit:			
	Laser Safety Officer:			
	KEY: Satisfactory (1 point) Unsatisfactory (0 points)	×	* indicates generally satisfactory but minor additional actions are recommended	
	Partly satisfactory (0.5 points)		Action points and additional comments	Person responsible
1	Do general policy and procedures in the department include a satisfactory statement on the use of lasers	50070	The last grant and additional comments	reisonresponsible
2	Does departmental policy include a statement on acquisition of laser equipment			
3	Does departmental policy include a statement on changes that the LSO must be informed of			
	Arrangements for control, competence, cooperation, communication			
4	Does the department keep an appropriate record of lasers			
5	Does the department keep an appropriate record of laser users			
6	Does departmental policy include a statement on the department's user authorisation system			
7	Does the user registration/authorisation system follow the suggested format or equivalent			
8	Are procedures in place to ensure that potential laser users are made aware of departmental laser policy and organisation			
	Is there evidence that appropriate training is identified and provided –			
9	Does the department have a written system for identifying training needs			
10	Are users referred to the Safety Office training courses or equivalent			

11	Is there evidence of In-lab training (provided by appropriate persons)		
12	Is there evidence of refresher training		
13	Is there evidence of training for those with supervisory responsibilities		
14	Is there evidence of other training as appropriate e.g. by manufacturer		
	Supervision - Laser Safety Officer		
15	Is the LSO confident that he/she is always kept informed and involved in planning		
16	Is the LSO provided with sufficient resources to carry out tasks of laser safety		
17	Is the LSO delegated tasks by HoD with clarity of role and given the necessary authority to carry out these tasks		
	Monitoring and reviewing performance		
18	Monitoring and reviewing performance Does the LSO sit on an appropriate Departmental Safety Committee		
19	Does the LSO sit on an appropriate Departmental Safety Committee Is there evidence that laser safety actively monitored within the department (Both regular formal inspections and informal spot checks - E.g. by LSO, safety		
19	Does the LSO sit on an appropriate Departmental Safety Committee Is there evidence that laser safety actively monitored within the department (Both regular formal inspections and informal spot checks - E.g. by LSO, safety tours, etc)		
20	Does the LSO sit on an appropriate Departmental Safety Committee Is there evidence that laser safety actively monitored within the department (Both regular formal inspections and informal spot checks - E.g. by LSO, safety tours, etc) Is there evidence that actions from inspections are followed up		

23	Do procedures exist to ensure that local rules are written and displayed/available in the work area		
24	Are written procedures in place to manage interlock override systems,		
25	Is there evidence that systems are in place regarding work done by external service engineers (written permit to work system)		
	Example Laser Applications - areas inspected:		
	Risk Assessment and local rules		
26	Where there is reasonably foreseeable risk of risk of adverse health effects to the eyes or skin, are open beams justified and is there an adequate assessment of likely exposures compared with MPE values		
27	Where there is reasonably foreseeable risk of risk of adverse health effects to the eyes or skin, is the potential injury and any necessary control measures clearly stated		
28	Are higher risk activities such as alignment risk assessed		
29	Do the significant findings of risk assessments include safe working methods and control measures to reduce risks, maintenance, design/layout, those at particular risk		
30	Are training requirements considered		
31	Do local rules contain location, contingency plans, brief description of work, control measures, working procedures, training requirements		
32	Is there evidence of regular (at least annual) review of risk assessments		
33	Is there evidence of regular (at least annual) review of local rules		
	Procedures for normal use		
34	Are safe working procedures documented either in the local rules or separately and available at point of work		
35	Have possibilities of faults occurring or misuse been considered		

36	Have possible inadvertent reflections been considered	
	Higher risk procedures - risk of exposure	
37	For procedures where risk is greater than during normal use (e.g. alignment, maintenance) are safe working procedures documented and available at point of work	
	Practical control measures	
38	Are engineering controls measures used as far as practicable (manufacturers design and/or additional measures)	
39	Is there evidence of maintenance of engineering controls (e.g. service visits and/or log book of regular checks on interlocks, shields, interconnections etc)	
40	Are others protected – consider fire risk (Class 4 lasers), shared areas, area layout, access restrictions, signage, demarcation and interlocks	
41	Are appropriate warning signs displayed	
	Contingency plans	
42	Are contingency plans documented in local rules	
43	Do contingency plans follow University policy	
44	Are contingency plans easily accessible	
45	Has safe entry for security and emergency services been considered (e.g. in the event of security investigating an alarm sounding?)	
46	Are staff/ students aware of how to shut off equipment in an emergency	
47	Are staff/students aware of arrangements for seeking medical attention in the event of a suspected injury and of their entitlement to health surveillance	

	Eye protection			
48	Is appropriate eyewear readily available, kept in good condition and marked appropriately (CE and EN207/8)			
	Most significant comments to report to the Department:			
	Audit questions overall percentage "Satisfactory"	0		
	Inspection questions overall percentage "Satisfactory"	0		
		•		
	Audit carried out by:	LY		
	Time spent in the department:			
•	Follow up visit?	N/A		
			LY 2012	