

Health and Safety Office

Work at Height Checklist

	Yes	No
Identification		
Has the department identified activities and precautions involving work at height?		
Has the hierarchy been considered when identifying such activities and		
precautions?		
Has the department considered work at height conducted by peripatetic workers?		
Are appropriate precautions provided including arrangements for supervision and training in the use of equipment?		
Has the department carried out a risk assessment and identified work at height		
activities and appropriate precautions, including work, which is incidental or ancillary		
to the main activity?		
Fragile Surfaces		
Has the department identified fragile surfaces on site (e.g. asbestos cement roof		
sheets, plastic sheets, roof lights, bridged materials in silos, crushed surfaces of		
sludge lagoons etc) and have suitable precautions been identified for any work on or		
near fragile surfaces and to prevent inadvertent access onto them?		
Is access to fragile surfaces prohibited except under controlled conditions (e.g		
governed by a permit to work system)?		
How are fragile roofs/gutters cleaned?		
Work is being carried out on or near to fragile surfaces and guardrails, coverings or		
other suitable precautions have not been provided.		
(See template Notice 8)		
Are warnings signs fixed at the approach to fragile roofs when access is needed or		
foreseeable e.g. cleaning valley gutters?		
Company		1
General Does the department have a system in place to ensure that appropriate access		
equipment is selected for working at height given the circumstances of the job?		
Risk assessment should consider factors such as the duration, complexity of the		
work, conditions of the surface etc. when selecting suitable work equipment.		
Have people who are expected to use work equipment received appropriate	+	
instructions and training in how to use it safely?		
Is work equipment appropriately inspected and maintained?	+	
Work at height is being carried out and there are no precautions against falling and	+	
there is a risk of a person falling a distance liable to cause personal injury.		
Evidence indicates work at or near open edges will be done where a person could	-	
fall a distance liable to cause personal injury e.g. at a mezzanine floor/ storage area		
above an office. There are no precautions against falling from an edge.		

	Yes	No
Edge Protection		
Guard rails, mid rails toe boards or similar barriers should be rigid enough to prevent		
a person or materials falling and their dimensions should comply with current		
standards.		
Stairs		
Provided with robust handrails?		
General Scaffolds		
Erected, altered and dismantled by competent people?		
Provided with edge protection as listed above?	1	
Provided with fully boarded working platforms?	+	
Inspected by a competent person at least once per week?		
inspected by a competent person at least once per week:		
Tower Scaffolds		
Are there any tower scaffolds on site? (even if dismantled or hired)		
Have persons erecting and using towers had appropriate training?		
Are guardrails and toe boards provided?		
Is the height of the tower scaffold no more than 3 times the minimum base		
dimension? (or in accordance with the manufacturers instructions on height to base ratio)		
Are wheels locked when in use and is the working platform empty when moved?		
Is safe access to the working platform provided? i.e. through internal trap doors		
If a tower has any of the following fault: no guard rails and toe boards; no safe		
working platform; height exceeds 3 times the base dimension and the manufacturers		
height v base dimension is not known and the tower is not tied to an adjacent		
structure; or the tower is not vertical; or a ladder is being used from the top of it.		
Mobile Elevating Working Platform (MEWP)		
Should be safe plant i.e. correct type for the job, provided with adequate guard rails, toe boards, thoroughly examined in the last 6 months, properly maintained and		
inspected.		
Should be used on a safe site i.e. firm level ground which is free from slopes, holes	+	
etc and can cope with the load and segregated from other site traffic to avoid		
collisions.		
Should have a safe operator who is trained and experienced.		
No segregation of MEWP, or part of it, seen working at height in a live highway e.g.		
street lighting work, bridge repair and there is a risk of vehicle impact. Poor on site		
segregation and unsafe practices seen e.g. driving at speed in vicinity of MEWP		
being used for work at height.		
Ground conditions poor or not considered so there is a risk of an overturn or		
collapse e.g. failure to establish strength of ducts, manhole covers, suspended		
floors or MEWP wheels or outriggers seen sinking into the ground.	1	
No fall protection equipment provided or incompatible/ inappropriate equipment		
used and unsafe practices seen e.g. climbing onto mid rails and or leaning over the		
edge to the extent that there is a risk of a fall. Inadequate anchorages in MEWP for		
fall protection. After dealing with immediate segregation issues as detailed above, safe system of	+	
work may be needed re segregation.		
No evidence of MEWP being thoroughly examined in the last 6 months, no defects	1	+
visible.		

	Yes	No
Working Platforms on Fork Lift Trucks – Unlikely in the University.		
Non-integrated platforms are acceptable for occasional, not routine use for work at		
height. Preference should be given to purpose built equipment for work at height		
such as tower/general scaffolding and MEWPS.		
Platform should be compatible with the truck.		
Platform should be secured to the forklift truck.		
Should have adequate edge protection as described above.		
Should be thoroughly examined every 6 months.		
Truck operators should be properly trained.		
If people are being raised or lowered on the forks or a pallet to access and work at		
height.		
	•	•
Collective Safeguards for arresting Falls		
Nets and airbags should be positioned as close to the level at which persons are		
working so that any fall height is minimised.		
Should be sufficient clearance below the net to avoid injury due to a collision with an		
obstruction if a person fell into the net.		
Nets should not have any gaps at their edges through which a person could fall.		
Nets should be securely anchored.		
Requirements for Personal Fall Protection Systems		
Personal fall protection systems should only be used if risk assessment		
demonstrates that the work can be done safely while using the equipment and the		
use of other, safer (collective) work equipment is not reasonably practicable.		
Users of personal fall protection systems should be trained.		
Components of personal fall protection system i.e. harnesses, lanyards, ropes,		
connectors, anchorages etc should be compatible, identifiable and regularly		
inspected.		
Fall arrest lanyards may need protection from sharp edges and there must be		
adequate clearance for the lanyard and energy absorber to deploy.		
Users must ensure inertia reel systems are anchored in accordance with		
manufacturers instructions (usually above the user). (Often such systems are not		
designed for anchorage at foot level)		-
Users of fall arrest systems should have a rescue plan in place.		1
Where lanyards are in use and there is visual evidence of significant defects or		
damage (cuts, abrasion see INDG 367 for details of inspections to be carried out)		+
Scaffolding (not tower) is being erected/dismantled/altered with no safety harness		
and associated equipment.		1
Harnesses and lanyards are used frequently and there is no inspection regime but no evidence of defects.		
TIO EVIDENCE OF DETECTS.		
Work Postraint		
Work Restraint		1
Must ensure the wearer cannot reach a position in which a fall could occur.		1

	Yes	No
Ladders		
Question whether ladders/step ladders are the most appropriate equipment for		
access or a place of work given the circumstances (including duration) of the job.		
Nould it be safer to use other work equipment e.g. tower scaffold?		
Have workers been instructed in the safe use of ladders e.g. 1 meter out for every 4		
meters up, and the need to secure?		
Are adequate precautions in place to stop a ladder from slipping or falling e.g. tied?		
Are ladders in good condition?		
Are ladders well maintained and inspected regularly?		
Portable leaning ladders are in use or are available for use and have defects such		
as splits in timber ladders, cracked welds at rung/stile connections on metal ladders,		
missing rungs, play between rungs and stiles, missing anti slip devices at the top		
and bottom, bent stiles at the bottom.		
Signs of damage or defects that are not likely to result in imminent risk of serious personal injury e.g. minor dents in rungs.		
Ladders are in use for large jobs with unwieldy equipment or materials (e.g.		+
replacing cast iron gutters) and persons could fall a distance liable to cause		
personal injury.		
_adders are available for use for work where a person could fall a distance liable to		
cause personal injury and consideration has not been given to whether ladders are		
the most appropriate equipment for the work.		
Homemade ladders are in use and the department cannot demonstrate compliance		
with an appropriate standard.		
Contractors		
Does the department use contractors (including for small scale work such as minor		
repairs, lighting maintenance, as well as large scale work like redecoration,		
construction/installation work)?		
Does the department have appropriate arrangements for managing contractors in		
relation to H&S e.g. pre meeting, induction (site rules), establishing contacts, sign in		
sign out, segregation arrangements, periodic monitoring of their work etc?		
Has the department enquired about a contractors competence to work at height?		
The following questions/examples will help.		
1. What are arises and their horse for work at height. Act for aromanics of provious		
1. What experience do they have for work at height. Ask for examples of previous		
work. Does the duty holder ask for references that include comment on H &S? 2. What equipment will they use? Seek evidence of training, inspection, and		
maintenance.		
3. Are safety method statements/risk assessments required from contractors?		+
(Can be a useful tool to monitor the work)		
4. What are their arrangements for supervision while on site to ensure that 3 are		1
followed during the work?		
5. Are they a member of a relevant trade association?		1
s the duty holder aware of CDM Regs?		+
Contractors are used regularly for work at height and no procedures exist for		1
Some design and assume against your work at height and no procedures exist for	1	1